

제 3 교시

영어 영역

37.

In law, only a tiny group of thinkers has actively pursued a fairly extreme line of argument: that we should formally recognise our commonality with other animals and, therefore, have animals legally reclassified.

- (A) The relatively uncontroversial view is that animals should remain a reasonably wellprotected form of property (they are protected by a range of animal welfare laws) and all human beings should remain persons.
- (B) Their dramatic aim is to shift at least some animal species into the rights-bearing, and so human, category, and thus alter the conceptual relationship between humans and other animals.
- (C) But mainly this heroic attempt to reclassify the natural world, and our place within it, is seen as strange and misguided; to many jurists, probably the majority, it does not call for serious intellectual engagement. [3점]

\* jurist: 법학자

- ① (A) - (C) - (B)
- ② (B) - (A) - (C)
- ③ (B) - (C) - (A)
- ④ (C) - (A) - (B)
- ⑤ (C) - (B) - (A)